QUESTION

Should advanced heart failure treatments (LVAD/HTx) vs. supportive care be used for patients with advanced heart failure with Friedreich ataxia?								
POPULATION:	patients with advanced heart failure with Friedreich ataxia							
INTERVENTION:	advanced heart failure treatments (LVAD/HTx)							
COMPARISON:	supportive care							
MAIN OUTCOMES:	Mortality - survival; Morbidity - quality of life;							

ASSESSMENT

Problem Is the problem a priority?								
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EV	IDENCE					ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	
o No o Probably no • Probably yes o Yes o Varies o Don't know	People with Fl	RDA are at highe	er risk of advance	d HF (Tsou	The Friedreich's ataxia Clinical Management Guideline Patient and Parent Advisory Panel were interviewed on the consequences, urgency and priority of the topic. 6 out of 7 indicated the consequences of heart failure was serious; 1 individual indicated it was probably serious. 6 out of 7 individuals indicated management of heart failure was urgent; 1 individual indicated it was probably urgent etc. 6 out of 7 indicated heart failure was a priority; 1 indicated it was probably a priority. (July 2020)			
Desirable Effects How substantial are the desirable anticipated ef	fects?							
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EV	IDENCE					ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	
o Trivial o Small o Moderate o Large • Varies	Outcomes № of Certainty of Relative Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)					lute effects [*] (95% Cl)	Consider additional diagnoses in heart failure patients with FA (i myocarditis)	
o Don't know		(studies) Follow-up	(GRADE)	(95% CI)	Risk with supportive care	Risk difference with advanced heart failure treatments (LVAD/HTx)		
	Mortality - survival	4 (2 observational	⊕○○○ Very low ^a	-	Case series descr treatment of thre	ibing heart failure ee individuals with FRDA. 1) with severe myocarditis		

		studies) ^{1,2}			and experienced biventricular ass heart transplant stroke with full r remained stable 42 y.o. diagnose 27, with EF of '5' cardioverter-deb age 35 placemer device and at ag transplant with a two weeks later Cardiac function post-transplant. dilated cardiomy required AICD pl transplant. Cardi stable 8 years po et al 2017). Case study descr 23 year old worm heart failure dev cardiomyopathy Following heart f course was unev remained without function at 100 r improved, subjec- child, with cardia	cardiac arrest. Underwent ist device placement and Postoperatively suffered a ecovery. Cardiac function 19 years post-transplant. 2) is with heart failure at age %. Automated implantable rillator placed at age 33, at of left ventricular assist a 35 recieved a heart permanent pace maker due to a junctional rhythm. remained stable 5 years 3) 31 y.o. diagnosed with opathy. At age 37 patient acement and heart ac function remained ist-transplant. (McCormick ibing a heart transplant in a an with FRDA. Progressive eloped at age 21 (dilated frequent hospitalisations). rransplant, post-operative ent and allograft function it rejection with preserved months. Neurological status ct also delivered healthy ic and neurolofcal function
Mor qua life mea	rbidity - ality of - not asured	-	-	-	-	-
	1. Mcc Frie Sci 2. Iva fail Lur a. One onl	Cormick A., S edreich Ataxi ences; 2017 k P, Zumrov ure: An ethic ng Transplant e case series y.	Shinnick J.,Sc a: Extended f a A,Netuka I. cal conundrur tation; 2016. with n=3. Pc	chadt K. o follow-up . Friedreid n in decis otential fo	et al. Cardiac to b. Journal of the ch's ataxia and sion-making. Jo for reporting of	ransplantation in e Neurological advanced heart ournal of Heart and surviving patients

Undesirable Effects How substantial are the undesirable anticipated	d effects?								
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS								
o Large o Moderate o Small o Trivial	Outcomor	No.of	Cortainty of	lute offects* (05% Cl)					
• Varies	Outcomes	participants	the evidence	effect	Anticipated abso				
o Don't know		(studies) Follow-up	(GRADE)	(95% CI)	Risk with supportive care	Risk difference with advanced heart failure treatments (LVAD/HTx)			
	Mortality - survival	4 (2 observational studies) ^{1,2}	Very low ^a	-	Case series descri treatment of three 5 y.o. presenting and experienced biventricular assis heart transplant. stroke with full re- remained stable 3 42 y.o. diagnoses 27, with EF of 59 cardioverter-debu age 35 placement device and at age transplant with a two weeks later of Cardiac function in post-transplant. 3 dilated cardiomyor required AICD pla transplant. Cardia stable 8 years pos- et al 2017). Case study descri 23 year old woma heart failure deve cardiomyopathy, Following heart to course was unever remained withou function at 100 m improved subject	ibing heart failure ee individuals with FRDA. 1) with severe myocarditis cardiac arrest. Underwent st device placement and Postoperatively suffered a ecovery. Cardiac function 19 years post-transplant. 2) with heart failure at age 6. Automated implantable rillator placed at age 33, t of left ventricular assist e 35 recieved a heart permanent pace maker due to a junctional rhythm. remained stable 5 years 8) 31 y.o. diagnosed with opathy. At age 37 patient acement and heart ac function remained st-transplant. (McCormick bing a heart transplant in a an with FRDA. Progressive eloped at age 21 (dilated frequent hospitalisations). ransplant, post-operative ent and allograft function t rejection with preserved nonths. Neurological status t also delivered healtby			

	Morbidity - quality of life - not measured 1. McCormick A., S Friedreich Ataxia Sciences; 2017. 2. Ivak P, Zumrova failure: An ethic Lung Transplant a. One case series only.		adt K. et al. (llow-up. Jour riedreich's at in decision-m	with cardia ning stable Cardiac tr nal of the caxia and naking. Jo	c and neurolofcal function . (Ivak et al 2016). - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				
Certainty of evidence What is the overall certainty of the evidence of JUDGEMENT	Certainty of evidence What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects?								
Very low O Low O Moderate O High O No included studies	There is ver low certainty of ev	idence as per the							
Values Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes?									
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE				ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
 Important uncertainty or variability Possibly important uncertainty or variability Probably no important uncertainty or variability 	Outcom	es	Importa	ance	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)				

• No important uncertainty or variability	Mortality - survival	CRITICALª						
	Morbidity - quality of life - not measured	CRITICAL	-					
	 a. Identified as critical (4/6), importar people with FA and critical by expendent by the case series with n=3. Potential only. c. Identified as critical (3/6), as important by expendent by expen							
Balance of effects Does the balance between desirable and undesi	irable effects favor the intervention or the comparison?							
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE			ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
 o Favors the comparison o Probably favors the comparison o Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison o Probably favors the intervention o Favors the intervention • Varies o Don't know 				We are advocating for CONSIDERATION of advanced HF therapies based on individual circumstances. Diagnosis of FA alone should not preclude such considering advanced HF therapies.				
Acceptability Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?								
JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE			ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS				
 No Probably no Probably yes Yes Varies Don't know 	No published evidence available.			The Friedreich's ataxia Clinical Management Guideline Patient and Parent Advisory Panel were asked if the intervention was reasonable (weighing up the balance between benefits, harms and costs). 1 out of 3 individuals indicated management with advanced heart failure treatments was reasonable; 1 out of 3 probably reasonable and 1 out of 3 not reasonable. (August 2020)				

SUMMARY OF JUDGEMENTS

	JUDGEMENT									
PROBLEM	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know			
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know			
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies			
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability						
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know			
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			

TYPE OF RECOMMENDATION

Strong recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation for either the intervention or the comparison	Conditional recommendation for the intervention	Strong recommendation for the intervention
0	0	0	•	0

CONCLUSIONS

Recommendation

Advanced heart failure therapies such as a left ventricular assist device, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, biventricular pacemaker and heart transplantation should be considered for individuals with Friedreich ataxia and heart failure, based on consideration of both their cardiac and overall health status.

Justification

Based on the current evidence, advanced heart failure therapies should be considered based on individual circumstances. A diagnosis of FRDA alone should not preclude such consideration. Evidence from case reports indicates positive outcomes (Yoda et al, 2016; Ivak et al, 2016; Yoon et al, 2012; Segovia et al, 2001; Sedlak et al, 2004; Leonard et al, 2001).

Subgroup considerations

This recommendation is for individuals with Friedreich ataxia with a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (i.e. <55%).

Research priorities

Reference

Ivak P, Zumrova A, Netuka I. Friedreich's ataxia and advanced heart failure: An ethical conundrum in decision-making. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2016;35(9):1144-5.

Leonard H, Forsyth R. Friedreich's ataxia presenting after cardiac transplantation. Arch Dis Child. 2001;84(2):167-8.

Sedlak TL, Chandavimol M, Straatman L. Cardiac transplantation: a temporary solution for Friedreich's ataxia-induced dilated cardiomyopathy. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2004;23(11):1304-6.

Segovia J, Alonso-Pulpon L, Burgos R, Silva L, Serrano S, Castedo E, et al. Heart transplantation in Friedreich's ataxia and other neuromuscular diseases. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2001;20(2):169.

Tsou AY, Paulsen EK, Lagedrost SJ, Perlman SL, Mathews KD, Wilmot GR, et al. Mortality in Friedreich ataxia. J Neurol Sci. 2011;307:46-9.

Yoda M, El-Banayosy A, Arusoglu L, Tendrich G, Minami K, Korfer R. Permanent use of a ventricle assist device for dilated cardiomyopathy in Friedreich's ataxia. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2006;25(2):251-2.

Yoon G, Soman T, Wilson J, George K, Mital S, Dipchand AI, et al. Cardiac transplantation in Friedreich ataxia. J Child Neurol. 2012;27(9):1193-6.