

## QUESTION

**Should Portable rhythm monitors (at least annually) vs. Holter monitors only for symptoms be used for asymptomatic patients with Friedreich ataxia?**

**POPULATION:** asymptomatic patients with Friedreich ataxia

**INTERVENTION:** Portable rhythm monitors (at least annually)

**COMPARISON:** Holter monitors only for symptoms

**MAIN OUTCOMES:** Mortality; Clinically significant arrhythmia;

**BACKGROUND:**

**CONFLICT OF INTERESTS:**

## ASSESSMENT

### Problem

Is the problem a priority?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> No</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Probably no</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Probably yes</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Varies</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Don't know</li> </ul>	<p>In a natural history study of 456 patients, 131 had Holters (Mejia et al, 2021). Eight (7.8%, n= 103) had diminished cardiac function, and 74 (74.0%, n = 100) had ventricular hypertrophy. Ninety patients (83.0%) had atrial ectopy (supraventricular ectopy [SVE]): 85 (78.0%) with rare SVE (&gt;0% to 5%) and five (5.0%) with frequent SVE (&gt;10%). Twenty-five (19.0%) had supraventricular runs, and one (0.8%) had atrial fibrillation/flutter. Forty-five (41.0%) had ventricular ectopy (VE): 43 (39.0%) with rare VE (0% to 5%) and two (2.0%) with moderate VE (5% to 10%). Compared with patients with none and rare SVE, patients with frequent SVE had longer disease duration (18.3 versus 4.6 versus 9.0 years, P=0.0005).</p>	<p>The Friedreich's ataxia Clinical Management Guideline Patient and Parent Advisory Panel were interviewed on the consequences, urgency and priority of the monitoring cardiac function. 7 out of 7 indicated the consequences of cardiac function. 4 out of 7 indicated cardiac monitoring was urgent; 2 out of 7 was probably urgent and 1 indicated it was urgent if the person has cardiac symptoms. 4 out of 7 indicated cardiac monitoring was a priority; 2 out of 7 was probably a priority and 1 indicated it was a priority if the person has cardiac symptoms. (July 2020)</p>

### Desirable Effects

How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Trivial</li> <li>○ Small</li> <li>○ Moderate</li> <li>○ Large</li> <li>● Varies</li> <li>○ Don't know</li> </ul>	<p>A search of three databases (CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE) identified no randomized, non-randomized controlled, cohort and case studies published from 2014 through to 16 July 2020. No further published evidence meeting the search criteria was identified in the Consensus Clinical Management Guidelines for Friedreich's ataxia, 2014.</p>	<p>Detection of treatable and/or preventable arrhythmias</p>
---	---	--

## Undesirable Effects

How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Large</li> <li>○ Moderate</li> <li>● Small</li> <li>○ Trivial</li> <li>○ Varies</li> <li>○ Don't know</li> </ul>	<p>A search of three databases (CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE) identified no randomized, non-randomized controlled, cohort and case studies published from 2014 through to 16 July 2020. No further published evidence meeting the search criteria was identified in the Consensus Clinical Management Guidelines for Friedreich's ataxia, 2014.</p>	<p>Over medicalisation with unnecessary testing; detection of abnormalities of uncertain significance (e.g. premature atrial contractions without sustained arrhythmia)</p>

## Certainty of evidence

What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Very low</li> <li>○ Low</li> <li>○ Moderate</li> <li>○ High</li> <li>● No included studies</li> </ul>	<p>No published evidence.</p>	

## Values

Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Important uncertainty or variability</li> <li>○ Possibly important uncertainty or variability</li> </ul>		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Probably no important uncertainty or variability</li> <li>● No important uncertainty or variability</li> </ul>	<table border="1" data-bbox="520 159 1423 383"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="520 159 1024 240">Outcomes</th> <th data-bbox="1024 159 1150 240">Importance</th> <th data-bbox="1150 159 1423 240">Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="520 240 1024 313">Mortality - not measured</td> <td data-bbox="1024 240 1150 313">CRITICAL<sup>a</sup></td> <td data-bbox="1150 240 1423 313">-</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="520 313 1024 383">Clinically significant arrhythmia - not measured</td> <td data-bbox="1024 313 1150 383">CRITICAL<sup>b</sup></td> <td data-bbox="1150 313 1423 383">-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="562 423 1388 526">           a. Identified as critical (4/6), important (1/6) and low importance (1/6) by people with FA and critical by expert authors on this topic.            b. Identified as critical (3/6), important (1/6) and low importance (1/6) by people with FA and critical by expert authors on this topic.         </p>	Outcomes	Importance	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Mortality - not measured	CRITICAL <sup>a</sup>	-	Clinically significant arrhythmia - not measured	CRITICAL <sup>b</sup>	-	
Outcomes	Importance	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)									
Mortality - not measured	CRITICAL <sup>a</sup>	-									
Clinically significant arrhythmia - not measured	CRITICAL <sup>b</sup>	-									

### Balance of effects

Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favor the intervention or the comparison?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Favors the comparison</li> <li>○ Probably favors the comparison</li> <li>● Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison</li> <li>○ Probably favors the intervention</li> <li>○ Favors the intervention</li> <li>○ Varies</li> <li>○ Don't know</li> </ul>	No published evidence.	

### Acceptability

Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No</li> <li>○ Probably no</li> <li>○ Probably yes</li> <li>○ Yes</li> <li>● Varies</li> <li>○ Don't know</li> </ul>	No published evidence.	The Friedreich's ataxia Clinical Management Guideline Patient and Parent Advisory Panel were asked if the intervention was reasonable (weighing up the balance between benefits, harms and costs). 1 out of 3 indicated using portable rhythm monitors to monitor hear function was reasonable, 1 out of 3 indicated it probably wasn't reasonable and 1 person needed more information on its benefits and potential harms. (August 2020)

## SUMMARY OF JUDGEMENTS

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

## TYPE OF RECOMMENDATION

Strong recommendation against the intervention ○	Conditional recommendation against the intervention ○	Conditional recommendation for either the intervention or the comparison ●	Conditional recommendation for the intervention ○	Strong recommendation for the intervention ○
---	--	---	--	---

## CONCLUSIONS

### Recommendation

There is not sufficient evidence to make a recommendation about Holter monitoring for individuals with Friedreich ataxia who do not have symptoms suggesting they might have an arrhythmia.

### Justification

There is a lack of evidence for benefit of Holter monitoring for individuals with FRDA without symptoms suggesting an arrhythmia, while risks include over-medicalization with unnecessary testing and detection of abnormalities of uncertain significance (e.g., premature atrial contractions without sustained arrhythmia).

## Subgroup considerations

None.

## Research priorities

Longitudinal research to evaluate whether Holter findings predict clinically actionable outcomes in FA.

Studies evaluating utility of commercially available devices (such as AppleWatch, mobile applications for ECG evaluation) for symptomatic arrhythmia detection.

### Reference

Mejia E, Lynch A, Hearle P, Okunowo O, Griffis H, Shah M, et al. Ectopic burden via Holter monitors in Friedreich ataxia. *Pediatr Neurol.* 2021;117:29-33.