QUESTION

 Should insulin alone vs. metformin and/or novel glucose-lowering therapy (e.g., GLP1RA) and insulin be used for children with stabilised diabetes mellitus with Friedreich ataxia?

 POPULATION:
 children with stabilised diabetes mellitus with Friedreich ataxia

 INTERVENTION:
 insulin alone

 COMPARISON:
 metformin and/or novel glucose-lowering therapy (e.g., GLP1RA) and insulin

 MAIN OUTCOMES:
 Diabetes control/ complications; Acute care utilization; Disease progression; Health-related quality of life;

 BACKGROUND:
 Image: Control display in the stabilization display in the stabilization; Disease progression; Health-related quality of life;

ASSESSMENT

| Problem Is the problem a priority? | | |
|---|--|--|
| JUDGEMENT | RESEARCH EVIDENCE | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS |
| o No o Probably no • Probably yes o Yes o Varies o Don't know | | The Friedreich's ataxia Clinical Management Guideline Patient and Parent Advisory Panel were interviewed on the consequences, urgency and priority of diabetes mellitus. 5/7 indicated that the problem was serious, 1/7 indicated probably serious, 1/7 indicated they didn't know if serious. 3/7 indicated that the problem was urgent, 2/7 indicated probably urgent, 1/7 indicated probably not urgent, 1/7 indicated probably urgent, 1/7 indicated probably not urgent, 1/7 indicated they didn't know if urgent. 2/7 indicated that the problem was a priority, 4/7 indicated probably a priority, 1/7 indicated they didn't know if priority. (Aug 2020) |
| Desirable Effects How substantial are the desirable anticipated e | ffects? | |
| JUDGEMENT | RESEARCH EVIDENCE | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS |
| o Trivial o Small Moderate o Large o Varies o Don't know | A search of three databases (CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE) identified no randomized, non-randomized controlled, cohort and case studies published from 2014 through to 15 July 2020. No further published evidence meeting the search criteria was identified in the Consensus Clinical Management Guidelines for Friedreich's ataxia, 2014. | |

| Undesirable Effects How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects? | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| JUDGEMENT | RESEARCH EVIDENCE | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS | | | | | |
| o Large o Moderate o Small o Trivial o Varies • Don't know | A search of three databases (CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBAS controlled, cohort and case studies published from 2014 evidence meeting the search criteria was identified in the for Friedreich's ataxia, 2014. | | | | | | |
| Certainty of evidence What is the overall certainty of the evidence of the e | effects? | | | | | | |
| JUDGEMENT | RESEARCH EVIDENCE | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS | | | | | |
| o Very low o Low o Moderate o High o No included studies | No published evidence. | | | | | | |
| Values Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes? | | | | | | | |
| JUDGEMENT | RESEARCH EVIDENCE | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS | | | | | |
| Important uncertainty or variability Possibly important uncertainty or variability Probably no important uncertainty or variability No important uncertainty or variability | Outcomes Diabetes control/ complications - not measured | Importance Certainty of the evidence (GRADE) IMPORTANT ^a - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Acute care utilization - not measured | CRITICAL ^b | - |
|--|---|--|
| Disease progression - not measured | IMPORTANT | - |
| Health-related quality of life - not measured | IMPORTANT ^d | - |
| a. Identified as critical (1/6) and imp important by expert authors on th b. Identified as critical (3/6), importa people with FA and critical by expe c. Identified as critical (1/6), importa people with FA and critical by expe d. Identified as critical (2/6) and imp important by expert authors on th | s topic. nt (2/6) and low rt authors on thi nt (4/6) and low rt authors on thi ortant (4/6) by p | importance (1/6) by is topic. importance (1/6) by is topic. |

Balance of effects

Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favor the intervention or the comparison?

| JUDGEMENT | RESEARCH EVIDENCE | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| o Favors the comparison | No published evidence. | | | | |
| Probably favors the comparison | | | | | |
| • Does not favor either the intervention or the | | | | | |
| comparison | | | | | |
| Probably favors the intervention | | | | | |
| Favors the intervention | | | | | |
| 0 Varies | | | | | |
| ⊙ Don't know | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Acceptability | | | | | |

Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?

| JUDGEMENT | RESEARCH EVIDENCE | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| o No o Probably no | No published evidence. | The Friedreich's ataxia Clinical Management Guideline Patient and Parent Advisory Panel were asked if using insulin alone for | |
| • Probably yes • Yes | | children with diabetes was acceptable (weighing up the balance between benefits, harms and costs). | |
| o Varies o Don't know | | 1/3 indicated the intervention was acceptable, 2/3 indicated | |

| | they didn't know if acceptable. (Aug 2020). |
|--|---|
| | |

SUMMARY OF JUDGEMENTS

| | JUDGEMENT | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|---|-------------------------|--------|---------------------|
| PROBLEM | No | Probably no | Probably yes | Yes | | Varies | Don't know |
| DESIRABLE EFFECTS | Trivial | Small | Moderate | Large | | Varies | Don't know |
| UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS | Large | Moderate | Small | Trivial | | Varies | Don't know |
| CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE | Very low | Low | Moderate | High | | | No included studies |
| VALUES | Important uncertainty or variability | Possibly important uncertainty or variability | Probably no important uncertainty or variability | No important uncertainty or variability | | | |
| BALANCE OF EFFECTS | Favors the comparison | Probably favors the comparison | Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison | Probably favors the intervention | Favors the intervention | Varies | Don't know |
| ACCEPTABILITY | No | Probably no | Probably yes | Yes | | Varies | Don't know |

TYPE OF RECOMMENDATION

| Strong recommendation against the intervention | Conditional recommendation against the intervention | Conditional recommendation for either the intervention or the comparison | Conditional recommendation for the intervention | Strong recommendation for the intervention |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 |

CONCLUSIONS

Recommendation

We suggest using insulin alone rather than insulin and other glucose-lowering therapy as the primary treatment for most children (under 18 years old) with FRDA-related diabetes mellitus.

Justification

Children with FRDA-related DM tend to be more predominantly insulin deficient and therefore require insulin as treatment for DM. Additionally, fewer medications are approved for DM management in pediatrics and therefore less is known about their effects, even in "common" forms of pediatric DM.

Subgroup considerations

This recommendation is for children with Friedreich ataxia with stabilized diabetes mellitus. In children with evidence of insulin resistance or at risk of insulin resistance (elevated fasting insulin at diagnosis of DM, elevated c-peptide while on insulin, elevated BMI, family history of Type 2 DM, acanthosis nigricans on exam), additional anti-diabetic agents such as metformin or GLP-1 receptor agonists can be considered.

Research priorities

Additional research is needed to better understand the pathogenesis of DM in FRDA and if, similar to adults with FRDA-DM, there are components of both decreased insulin secretion and increased insulin resistance.