

QUESTION

Should insulin alone vs. metformin and or novel glucose-lowering therapy (e.g., SGLT2i, GLP1RA, DPPIVi) and insulin be used for adults with higher-risk diabetes mellitus (HbA1c \geq 8.5%, ketones, or acute hyperglycemia), once stabilised, with Friedreich ataxia?

POPULATION: adults with higher-risk diabetes mellitus (HbA1c \geq 8.5%, ketones, or acute hyperglycemia), once stabilised, with Friedreich ataxia

INTERVENTION: insulin alone

COMPARISON: metformin and or novel glucose-lowering therapy (e.g., SGLT2i, GLP1RA, DPPIVi) and insulin

MAIN OUTCOMES: Diabetes control/complications; Acute care utilization; Disease progression; Health related quality of life;

BACKGROUND:

ASSESSMENT

Problem

Is the problem a priority?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Probably no <input checked="" type="radio"/> Probably yes <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Varies <input type="radio"/> Don't know 		<p>The Friedreich's ataxia Clinical Management Guideline Patient and Parent Advisory Panel were interviewed on the consequences, urgency and priority of diabetes mellitus.</p> <p>5/7 indicated that the problem was serious, 1/7 indicated probably serious, 1/7 indicated they didn't know if serious.</p> <p>3/7 indicated that the problem was urgent, 2/7 indicated probably urgent, 1/7 indicated probably not urgent, 1/7 indicated they didn't know if urgent.</p> <p>2/7 indicated that the problem was a priority, 4/7 indicated probably a priority, 1/7 indicated they didn't know if priority. (Aug 2020)</p>

Desirable Effects

How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Trivial <input type="radio"/> Small <input checked="" type="radio"/> Moderate <input type="radio"/> Large <input type="radio"/> Varies <input type="radio"/> Don't know 	<p>A search of three databases (CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE) identified no randomized, non-randomized controlled, cohort and case studies published from 2014 through to 15 July 2020. No further published evidence meeting the search criteria was identified in the Consensus Clinical Management Guidelines for Friedreich's ataxia, 2014.</p>	

--	--	--

Undesirable Effects

How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Large ○ Moderate ○ Small ○ Trivial ○ Varies ● Don't know 	<p>A search of three databases (CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE) identified no randomized, non-randomized controlled, cohort and case studies published from 2014 through to 15 July 2020. No further published evidence meeting the search criteria was identified in the Consensus Clinical Management Guidelines for Friedreich's ataxia, 2014.</p>	

Certainty of evidence

What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Very low ○ Low ○ Moderate ○ High ○ No included studies 	<p>No published evidence.</p>	

Values

Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Important uncertainty or variability ○ Possibly important uncertainty or variability ○ Probably no important uncertainty or variability ● No important uncertainty or variability 	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;">Outcomes</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Importance</th> <th style="width: 40%;">Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Diabetes control/complications - not measured</td> <td>IMPORTANT^a</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Outcomes	Importance	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Diabetes control/complications - not measured	IMPORTANT ^a	-	
Outcomes	Importance	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)						
Diabetes control/complications - not measured	IMPORTANT ^a	-						

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Acute care utilization - not measured</td> <td>CRITICAL^b</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Disease progression - not measured</td> <td>IMPORTANT^c</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Health related quality of life - not measured</td> <td>IMPORTANT^d</td> <td>-</td> </tr> </table>	Acute care utilization - not measured	CRITICAL ^b	-	Disease progression - not measured	IMPORTANT ^c	-	Health related quality of life - not measured	IMPORTANT ^d	-	
Acute care utilization - not measured	CRITICAL ^b	-									
Disease progression - not measured	IMPORTANT ^c	-									
Health related quality of life - not measured	IMPORTANT ^d	-									
	<p>a. Identified as critical (1/6) and important (5/6) by people with FA and important by expert authors on this topic.</p> <p>b. Identified as critical (3/6), important (2/6) and low importance (1/6) by people with FA and critical by expert authors on this topic.</p> <p>c. Identified as critical (1/6), important (4/6) and low importance (1/6) by people with FA and critical by expert authors on this topic.</p> <p>d. Identified as critical (2/6) and important (4/6) by people with FA and important by expert authors on this topic.</p>										

Balance of effects

Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favor the intervention or the comparison?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<input type="radio"/> Favors the comparison <input type="radio"/> Probably favors the comparison <input checked="" type="radio"/> Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison <input type="radio"/> Probably favors the intervention <input type="radio"/> Favors the intervention <input type="radio"/> Varies <input type="radio"/> Don't know	No published evidence.	

Acceptability

Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?

JUDGEMENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Probably no <input checked="" type="radio"/> Probably yes <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Varies <input type="radio"/> Don't know	No published evidence.	<p>The Friedreich's ataxia Clinical Management Guideline Patient and Parent Advisory Panel were asked if using insulin alone for adults with more severe diabetes was acceptable (weighing up the balance between benefits, harms and costs).</p> <p>1/3 indicated the intervention was acceptable, 1/3 indicated varied or sometimes acceptable, 1/3 indicated they didn't know</p>

		if acceptable. (Aug 2020).
--	--	----------------------------

SUMMARY OF JUDGEMENTS

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

TYPE OF RECOMMENDATION

Strong recommendation against the intervention ○	Conditional recommendation against the intervention ○	Conditional recommendation for either the intervention or the comparison ●	Conditional recommendation for the intervention ○	Strong recommendation for the intervention ○
---	--	--	--	---

CONCLUSIONS

Recommendation

There is insufficient evidence to favor either insulin alone or insulin in combination with metformin or novel glucose lowering therapy (e.g., SGLT2i, GLP1RA, DPPiVi), in adults with Friedreich ataxia and higher risk diabetes mellitus (HbA1c ≥ 8.5%, ketones, or acute hyperglycemia).

Insulin is an appropriate treatment, but possible risks and benefits of other medications are unknown in Friedreich ataxia and treatments must be individualized.

Justification

Insulin is an appropriate management strategy and widely used in individuals with significant hyperglycemia and/or ketosis. Possible risks and benefits of other medications are unknown in FRDA and therefore treatment must be individualized.

Metformin is used widely, and is used in some cases of FRDA-related DM. There is a potential risk of lactic acidosis and inhibition of complex I mitochondrial respiratory chain (McCormick et al, 2017; Cameron et al, 2018). If using metformin, one should consider using the lowest necessary dose, ensuring it is withheld during times of illness to avoid additional risk of lactic acidosis. While there are possible benefits with newer anti-diabetic agents such as SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists with respect to cardiac disease and heart failure, there is no data specifically in FRDA (Marso et al, 2016; McMurray et al, 2019).

The use of SGLT-2 inhibitors has been associated with euglycemic DKA (Rosenstock et al, 2015). Since patients with FRDA-related DM have decreased insulin secretion, ketone monitoring and close follow-up would be needed if starting an individual with FRDA on this class of medication.

Subgroup considerations

This recommendation is for adults with Friedreich ataxia with higher risk diabetes mellitus (HbA1c \geq 8.5%, ketones, or acute hyperglycemia).

Research priorities

Research priorities include addressing which anti-diabetic agent should be used initially and evaluating specific benefits and risks related to FRDA-DM.

References

- Cameron AR, Logie L, Patel K, Erhardt S, Bacon S, Middleton P, et al. Metformin selectively targets redox control of complex I energy transduction. *Redox Biol.* 2018;14:187-97.
- Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, Eliaschewitz FG, Jodar E, Leiter LA, et al. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(19):1834-44.
- McCormick A, Farmer J, Perlman S, Delatycki M, Wilmot G, Matthews K, et al. Impact of diabetes in the Friedreich ataxia clinical outcome measures study. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol.* 2017;4(9):622-31.
- McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Kober L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(21):1995-2008.
- Rosenstock J, Ferrannini E. Euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis: A predictable, detectable, and preventable safety concern with SGLT2 inhibitors. *Diabetes Care.* 2015;38(9):1638-42.