Clinical Management Guidelines for Friedreich Ataxia

Chapter 3.5. Dysarthria in Friedreich ataxia

Contents

3.5.1 Effects of Friedreich ataxia on speech and communication	3
3.5.2 Functional consequences of dysarthria	3
3.5.3 Management of dysarthria	3
Best practice statement	4
Recommendations	4
Lay summary	6
Author details	6
References	7

This chapter of the Clinical Management Guidelines for Friedreich Ataxia and the recommendations and best practice statements contained herein were endorsed by the authors and the Friedreich Ataxia Guidelines Panel in 2022.

Disclaimer

The Clinical Management Guidelines for Friedreich ataxia ('*Guidelines*') are protected by copyright owned by the authors who contributed to their development or said authors' assignees.

These Guidelines are systematically developed evidence statements incorporating data from a comprehensive literature review of the most recent studies available (up to the Guidelines submission date) and reviewed according to the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment Development and Evaluations (GRADE) framework © The Grade Working Group.

Guidelines users must seek out the most recent information that might supersede the diagnostic and treatment recommendations contained within these Guidelines and consider local variations in clinical settings, funding and resources that may impact on the implementation of the recommendations set out in these Guidelines.

The authors of these Guidelines disclaim all liability for the accuracy or completeness of the Guidelines, and disclaim all warranties, express or implied to their incorrect use.

Intended Use

These Guidelines are made available as general information only and <u>do not constitute medical</u> <u>advice</u>. These Guidelines are intended to assist qualified healthcare professionals make informed treatment decisions about the care of individuals with Friedreich ataxia. They are not intended as a sole source of guidance in managing issues related to Friedreich ataxia. Rather, they are designed to assist clinicians by providing an evidence-based framework for decision-making.

These Guidelines are not intended to replace clinical judgment and other approaches to diagnosing and managing problems associated with Friedreich ataxia which may be appropriate in specific circumstances. Ultimately, healthcare professionals must make their own treatment decisions on a case-by-case basis, after consultation with their patients, using their clinical judgment, knowledge and expertise.

Guidelines users must not edit or modify the Guidelines in any way – including removing any branding, acknowledgement, authorship or copyright notice.

Funding

The authors of this document gratefully acknowledge the support of the Friedreich Ataxia Research Alliance (**FARA**). The views and opinions expressed in the Guidelines are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of FARA.

3.5 Dysarthria in Friedreich ataxia

Adam P. Vogel, Anja Lowit and Ellika T. Schalling

This chapter describes the effects of Friedreich ataxia on speech, the functional consequences of speech impairment (dysarthria), and strategies for managing dysarthria. In making recommendations for management, the authors were tasked with answering the question:

For individuals with Friedreich ataxia, what management strategies could be implemented for dysarthria?

3.5.1 Effects of Friedreich ataxia on speech and communication

Dysarthria is the core speech disorder in Friedreich ataxia (FRDA) (1, 2). Speech related physiological impairments in FRDA include poor breath support, incoordination of articulators, and slower movement. They lead to reduced intelligibility and naturalness of speech (deviation from healthy norm). Degraded intelligibility can make it difficult to communicate in day-to-day tasks, which is exacerbated when talking on the phone or in noisy environments. As well as motor deficits associated with speech, individuals with FRDA often present with cognitive inflexibility (3) that may lead to difficulties generating ideas, planning and organizing messages, and making inferences from spoken/written information due to the inability to process verbal information at speed (4). Auditory processing deficits are also described in FRDA related to hearing and processing speech and sound in complex noise environments (5). Two other functional domains can potentially impact on communication or access to alternative/augmentative forms of communication (AAC; e.g., unaided systems like gesture or aided systems such as speech generating devices): oculomotor and upper limb performance. Most individuals with FRDA retain adequate visual acuity (6, 7); however, this can change with disease progression. In contrast, many develop ocular motor fixation deficits (8). These deficits, coupled with the loss of upper limb dexterity (9) and dysarthria itself, complicate the use of traditional AAC technologies that rely on speech to text or mouse use on digital devices.

3.5.2 Functional consequences of dysarthria

Dysarthria manifests in slower speech, poor vocal control, hypernasality (10), dysphonia (11), and imprecise consonants (12) in the speaker. Dysarthria is debilitating and can render the speaker unintelligible (13). Speech is affected in almost all people with ataxia. Communication deficits can trigger altered self-identity (14) and impede or prevent both social and professional interactions (15). This leads to daily disadvantage and social marginalization through limitations in accomplishing tasks with associated emotional consequences (16, 17) and underemployment (15).

3.5.3 Management of dysarthria

There are three potential management strategies designed to improve speech function in Friedreich ataxia: behavioral therapy; alternative and augmentative communication (AAC); and pharmacological treatment.

Behavioral management is based on traditional models of speech therapy that focus on improving the underlying physiologic support for speech (e.g., improving trunk stability and breath support); modifying speech through compensatory speaking strategies (e.g., segmenting phrases and

controlling rate to improve articulatory accuracy); improving clarity and naturalness of speech by practicing appropriate targets; improving self-monitoring; and managing the communication environment (e.g., reducing background noise levels when speaking, conversation partner training). Advice and guidance on improving speech is typically delivered by a therapist or via technology and is delivered intensively in the clinic or home. Intervention may be delivered individually or in a group format.

AAC is designed to provide capacity for individuals to use technology, devices or alternative forms of communication (such as eye gaze, writing) to replace or supplement spoken communication. It is often beneficial to work jointly with colleagues from the multi-disciplinary team, such as physiotherapists for trunk stability and occupational therapists for fine motor control and accessing augmentative communication devices.

Pharmaceutical treatments are commonly designed to halt or reverse disease progression or alleviate the symptoms of disease. However, there are currently no known drugs that specifically target amelioration of speech disorder (18).

Best practice statement

In the absence of strong evidence supporting widespread adoption of treatment for speech problems, interventions to improve the communication skills of listeners (i.e. communication partners of speakers with ataxia) could be incorporated into care plans. These include focused attention during conversations, communicating in quiet environments, and identification of strategies to ameliorate communication breakdowns, with practice of the strategies in a supportive environment.

Recommendations

Grading for strength of recommendation and level of evidence

For the rating of the **strength** of the recommendation, in addition to evidence from studies in FRDA, evidence from like conditions, clinical experience and expert consensus are taken into account when published evidence is not available.

The **level of evidence** is based on published evidence from studies in FRDA. If there is no published evidence in FRDA, evidence from other like conditions or clinical expertise may have been used to make the recommendation – this is graded as 'very low' or in some cases 'low' level evidence. See the table below for an explanation of the symbols used to grade recommendations.

Strength of recommendation	Symbol	Level of evidence	Symbol
Strong for intervention	$\uparrow\uparrow$	High	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$
Conditional for intervention	\uparrow	Moderate	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
Neither intervention nor comparison	_	Low	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
Conditional against intervention	\checkmark	Very low	000
Strong against intervention	$\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$		

Intensive behavioral interventions

Should intensive behavioral speech intervention versus no treatment be used for all people with Friedreich ataxia?	Strength	Level of evidence*
For people with Friedreich ataxia, we suggest the use of targeted intensive behavioral therapy for improving speech in individuals with dysarthria.	1	$\Theta \Theta \bigcirc \bigcirc$

Justification: There is some limited evidence supporting the use of behavioral therapies for improving speech in Friedreich ataxia. Three small non-randomized trials show some preliminary evidence that some aspects of speech can improve in hereditary ataxias following intensive therapy (19-21). These therapies include methods for improving self-monitoring, biofeedback and diverse tasks designed to improve specific aspects of speech like breath support, vocal control and intelligibility. The studies suggest that some gains in intelligibility or voice quality can be achieved with intensive treatment. However, the data are derived from non-controlled, underpowered pilot studies.

Subgroup considerations: This recommendation is for individuals with Friedreich ataxia with dysarthria.

Augmentative and alternative communication

Should augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) versus no AAC be used for all people with Friedreich ataxia?	Strength	Level of evidence*	
We cannot recommend either the use or non-use of AAC to treat dysarthria in individuals with Friedreich ataxia.	—	0000	
Justification: There are no trials or published evidence supporting the use of AAC in Friedreich ataxia.			
Subgroup considerations: This recommendation is for individuals with Friedreich ataxia with dysarthria. AAC may be best suited to individuals who have severe dysarthria and are not receptive to behavioral or pharmacological interventions.			

Pharmacological interventions

Should pharmaceutical intervention versus no pharmaceutical intervention be used for all people with Friedreich ataxia?	Strength	Level of evidence*
For people with Friedreich ataxia, we suggest that pharmaceutical therapies are <i>not</i> used to treat dysarthria.	\checkmark	000

Justification: Very few published studies have used speech as an outcome measure in pharmaceutical trials for Friedreich ataxia. There is very low evidence supporting the use of any pharmaceutical therapies to improve dysarthria in Friedreich ataxia. One open label trial showed minor changes in acoustic outcomes related to timing in the high dose group (versus low dose group) (22). These findings were not verified against listener-based judgements.

Subgroup considerations: This recommendation is for individuals with Friedreich ataxia with dysarthria.

Lay summary

Lay summary of clinical recommendations for dysarthria in Friedreich ataxia

Individuals with Friedreich ataxia often develop problems with their speech (dysarthria). There are some potential treatments for speech problems, but as yet there is not enough research to show the benefits of some of these possible treatments.

Behavioural treatment is currently the primary management option available to individuals with Friedreich ataxia who have problems with their speech. There is some evidence suggesting that intensive drill-based therapy (regular and frequent therapy) delivered for at least three weeks may be effective in improving some aspects of speech, including intelligibility (how well a person can be understood by a listener) and voice quality.

Why these recommendations?

Augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) is the use of technology (such as speech to text), devices (often needing the use of a mouse) or alternative forms of communication (such as eye gaze, writing) to replace or help spoken communication. There are currently studies investigating the use of AAC for improving communication in Friedreich Ataxia, but there is not yet enough evidence showing benefit to people with Friedreich ataxia who have problems with their speech to recommend its use.

There are no studies showing that any medication can help to improve speech in Friedreich ataxia.

Behavioural treatments for dysarthria are supported by some preliminary studies suggesting they can help to improve speech in individuals with Friedreich ataxia. Behavioural therapy is typically safe and is very unlikely to lead to any harm to the individual.

What does this mean for you as a person living with Friedreich ataxia or caring for someone living with Friedreich ataxia?

For individuals with dysarthria it is important to have the type and severity of your speech problems assessed, and to discuss options for intensive behavioural therapies with your doctor or other health professional. These therapies are focussed on improving intelligibility and naturalness of speech.

Who are these recommendations specifically for?

These recommendations are for Individuals with Friedreich ataxia with changes to their speech.

Author details

Anja Lowit, PhD Professor, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, UK Email: <u>a.lowit@strath.ac.uk</u>

Ellika T. Schalling, PhD, SLP

Professor, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden Email: <u>ellika.schalling@neuro.uu.se</u>

Adam P. Vogel, PhD

Professorial Fellow, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia Email: vogela@unimelb.edu.au

References

- 1. Gentil M. Dysarthria in Friedreich disease. Brain Lang. 1990;38(3):438-48.
- 2. Hiller F. A study of speech disorders in friedreich's ataxia. Arch Neurol Psychiatry. 1929;22(1):75-90.
- Corben LA, Klopper F, Stagnitti M, Georgiou-Karistianis N, Bradshaw JL, Rance G, et al. Measuring inhibition and cognitive flexibility in Friedreich ataxia. Cerebellum. 2017;16(4):757-63.
- 4. Nieto A, Correia R, de Nóbrega E, Montón F, Hess S, Barroso J. Cognition in friedreich ataxia. Cerebellum. 2012;11(4):834-44.
- 5. Koohi N, Thomas-Black G, Giunti P, Bamiou DE. Auditory phenotypic variability in Friedreich's ataxia patients. Cerebellum. 2021;20(4):497-508.
- 6. Noval S, Contreras I, Sanz-Gallego I, Manrique RK, Arpa J. Ophthalmic features of Friedreich ataxia. Eye (Lond). 2012;26(2):315-20.
- 7. Seyer LA, Galetta K, Wilson J, Sakai R, Perlman S, Mathews K, et al. Analysis of the visual system in Friedreich ataxia. J Neurol. 2013;260(9):2362-9.
- 8. Hocking DR, Fielding J, Corben L A, Cremer P, Millist L, White O, et al. Ocular motor fixation deficits in Friedreich ataxia. Cerebellum. 2010;9:411-8.
- 9. Naeije G, Rovai A, Pandolfo M, De Tiege X. Hand dexterity and pyramidal dysfunction in Friedreich ataxia, a finger tapping study. Mov Disord Clin Pract. 2021;8(1):85-91.
- 10. Poole ML, Wee JS, Folker JE, Corben LA, Delatycki MB, Vogel AP. Nasality in Friedreich ataxia. Clin Linguist Phon. 2015;29(1):46-58.
- 11. Vogel AP, Wardrop MI, Folker JE, Synofzik M, Corben LA, Delatycki MB, et al. Voice in Friedreich ataxia. J Voice. 2017;31(2):243 e9- e19.
- 12. Folker J, Murdoch B, Cahill L, Delatycki M, Corben L, A. V. Dysarthria in Friedreich's Ataxia: a perceptual analysis. Folia Phoniatr Logop. 2010;62:97-103.
- 13. Brendel B, Synofzik M, Ackermann H, Lindig T, Scholderle T, Schols L, et al. Comparing speech characteristics in spinocerebellar ataxias type 3 and type 6 with Friedreich ataxia. J Neurol. 2015;262(1):21-6.
- 14. Clarke P, Black SE. Quality of life following stroke: Negotiating disability, identity, and resources. J Appl Gerontol. 2005;24(4):319-36.
- 15. Gibilisco P, Vogel AP. Friedreich ataxia. BMJ. 2013;347:f7062.
- 16. Parr S, Byng S, Gilpin S. Talking about aphasia: Living with loss of language after stroke. UK: McGraw-Hill Education; 1997.
- 17. Baylor C, Burns M, Eadie T, Britton D, Yorkston K. A qualitative study of interference with communicative participation across communication disorders in adults. Am J Speech Lang Pathol. 2011;20(4):269-87.
- 18. Vogel AP, Folker J, Poole ML. Treatment for speech disorder in Friedreich ataxia and other hereditary ataxia syndromes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014(10):CD008953.
- 19. Lowit A, Egan A, Hadjivassiliou M. Feasibility and acceptability of Lee Silverman voice treatment in progressive ataxias. Cerebellum. 2020;19(5):701-14.
- 20. Vogel A, Rommel N, Synofzik M. Intensive speech rehabilitation in degenerative ataxias improves intelligibility (Abstract). Mov Disord. 2018;33(Suppl 2):S281.
- 21. Vogel AP, Stoll LH, Oettinger A, Rommel N, Kraus EM, Timmann D, et al. Speech treatment improves dysarthria in multisystemic ataxia: a rater-blinded, controlled pilot-study in ARSACS. J Neurol. 2019;266(5):1260-6.
- 22. Yiu EM, Tai G, Peverill RE, Lee KJ, Croft KD, Mori TA, et al. An open-label trial in Friedreich ataxia suggests clinical benefit with high-dose resveratrol, without effect on frataxin levels. J Neurol. 2015;262(5):1344-53.